

Homosexuality and the arguments of the “unnatural”

Homosexuality isn't normal	Other animals don't practice homosexuality	Does not proceed from natural desires	Homosexuality is not the function of the human body (procreation)	Homosexuality is “gross”	Homosexuality is harmful	Homosexuality violates Biblical teachings as is therefore wrong.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Breeding Sheep • Reading Sanskrit • Playing the Mandolin 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Some animals do (“Lesbian” seagulls, and “gay” sheep) 2) Animals don't do a lot of things... brush teeth, pray... 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Might be natural desires 2) Desires of violence does not give a right to act – yet is natural. 3) Desire to lie, overcome natural desire to do what is moral. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Organs have multiple functions. Mouth: chewing, eating, talking, and kissing. 2) Under this reasoning, sex during pregnancy, sex for sterile couples, and masturbation must be wrong. 3) Homosexuality & celibacy have the same result... “fall of society.” 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Plenty of moral actions are “gross.” Like cleaning toilets, performing autopsies, etc. yet are moral. 2) Most people, centuries ago, found interracial marriages as “gross.” 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) AIDS – it's no more dangerous to have sex with an HIV + partner of the same sex. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Also, lesbian sex has the lowest rate of AIDS infection. - Current largest growing AIDS group is hetero black female teens. 2) Depression: Stats can't show a C&E relationship. 3) Anal Sex is dangerous: Anal is not done by all homosexuals, and is performed by heterosexuals. 	<p>See next page</p>
Normality ≠ moral	Animal's practices have never determined human morality.	Origin of desires does not determine morality.	Failure to procreate is a bigger category than just applied to homosexuals	Aesthetic judgment not a moral judgment.	Task: Forced to show how homosexuality is universally harmful.	Plato's Dilemma Slavery Ethnic Cleansing Genocide

Homosexuality in the Bible

<p>Lev. 18:22 <i>You shall not lie with a male as a woman; it is an abomination.</i></p>	<p>Lev. 11:7-8 <i>The pig... is unclean for you. Of their flesh you shall not eat, and their carcasses you shall not touch; they are unclean for you.</i></p>
<p>Romans 1:26-27 <i>For this reason God gave them up to degrading passions. Their women exchanged natural intercourse for unnatural, and in the same way also with men, giving up natural intercourse for one another. Men committed shameless acts with men and received in their own persons the due penalty for their error.</i></p>	<p>Lev. 25: 44-46 <i>You may acquire male and female slaves... from among the aliens residing with you, and from their families that are with you, who have been born in your land; and they may be your property. You may keep them as a possession for your children after you, for them to inherit as property.</i></p>
<p>1 Corinthians 6:9-10 <i>Do you not know that the wicked will not inherit the kingdom of God? Do not be deceived: neither the sexually immoral nor the idolaters nor adulterers nor male prostitutes nor homosexual offenders nor thieves nor the greedy nor drunkards nor slanderers nor swindlers will inherit the kingdom of God.</i></p>	<p>Psalm 15:1-5 <i>Those who lend at interest may not abide in the Lord's tent or dwell on his holy hill.</i></p> <p>Ezekiel 18:13 <i>Usury is compared to robbery and bribery and anyone who "has done all these despicable things, he will surely be put to death and his blood will be on his own head."</i></p>

Historical context?

That which qualifies as 'usury' in the Bible is not the same practice that banks (today) engage in. In the same manner, the "homosexuality" that the Bible condemns is not the same actions that are encompassed by "homosexuality" today.

Moral and legal sanctions based upon religion → Most laws violate some religious practices. Yet freedom and liberty do not allow the legislation of such practices.

The only completely consistent Amendment to the Constitution, which codifies marriage along Biblical lines:

- A. Marriage in the United States shall consist of a union between one man and one or more women. (Gen 29:17-28; II Sam 3:2-5)
- B. Marriage shall not impede a man's right to take concubines, in addition to his wife or wives. (II Sam 5:13; I Kings 11:3; II Chron 11:21)
- C. A marriage shall be considered valid only if the wife is a virgin. If the wife is not a virgin, she shall be executed. (Deut 22:13-21)
- D. Marriage of a believer and a non-believer shall be forbidden. (Gen 24:3; Num 25:1-9; Ezra 9:12; Neh 10:30)
- E. Since marriage is for life, neither this Constitution nor the constitution of any State, nor any state or federal law, shall be construed to permit divorce. (Deut 22:19; Mark 10:9)
- F. If a married man dies without children, his brother shall marry the widow. If he refuses to marry his brother's widow or deliberately does not give her children, he shall pay a fine of one shoe, and be otherwise punished in a manner to be determined by law. (Gen. 38:6-10; Deut 25:5-10)
- G. In lieu of marriage, if there are no acceptable men in your town, it is required that you get your dad drunk and have sex with him (even if he had previously offered you up as a sex toy to men young and old), tag-teaming with any sisters you may have. Of course, this rule applies only if you are female. (Gen 19:31-36)

The Ever Changing Definition of Marriage

Race #1	Marriage is defined as a union of a white man to a white woman. (marriages of non-whites was not recognized)
Race #2	Marriage is defined as a union of a white man to a white woman, or a non-white man to a non-white woman.
Sexual purity	Marriage is defined as a union of a man to a virginal woman. (Marriage involving a women who was not a virgin was not recognized)
Marriage of Multiplicity	Marriage is defined as a union of a man to a woman, or women
Marrage of Age	Marriage is defined as a union of a man to a woman over the age of 10.
Marriage of Age #2	Marriage is defined as a union of a man to a women over the age of 16
Marriage of Religion	Marriage is defined as a union of a Christian man to a Christian woman.

Short Timeline of Marriage (<http://www.kathylessa.com/gaymarriage.htm>)

- **1st Century Rome**
Emperor Nero married a man, Sporus, in an elaborate public ceremony
- **6th Century England**
Bride purchase is still legal in Kent
- **1500s Spain**
Under the Spanish Inquisition, marriage rights are denied to Jews and slaves
- **1661-1750 The Colonies**
All the Southern Colonies, Massachusetts, and Pennsylvania pass laws prohibiting interracial sex and marriage
- **1800's Continental Europe**
The church (Church of England, and the Catholic Church) took no official stance against prima nocte and marriage rights
- **1780s United States**
Bigamy is prohibited, the marriage of a lunatic is void, and age requirements are set. Marriages can be annulled for impotence and blood relations.
- **1887 Pennsylvania**
State raises age of consent from 10 to 16
- **1956 United States**
The last of the laws making epilepsy a disqualification for marriage are removed.
- **1965 Supreme Court**
Threw out laws forbidding birth control's sale to married couples (effectively eliminating the "marriage is only for reproduction" argument).
- **1967 Washington, D.C.**
The Supreme Court declares unconstitutional all anti-miscegenation laws.

Cases

			Moral problem(s)
Tim and Jim are in a relationship. In the course of their sexual relationship they kidnap and molest a child	↔	Kim and Bill are in a relationship. In the course of their sexual relationship they kidnap and molest a child.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Kidnapping • Child Molestation
Tim and Jim are in a relationship. In the course of their relationship Tim and Jim begin to engage in “rough sex” which escalates to the point of physical endangerment. (A la Marquis de Sod)	↔	Kim and Bill are in a relationship. In the course of their relationship Kim and Bill begin to engage in “rough sex” which escalates to the point of physical endangerment. (A la Marquis de Sod)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The infliction of pain • Self-Harm • Harming another (Harm principle)
Tim and Jim are in a relationship. The relationship started as a mutual relationship of love, yet Jim became abusive to Jim. The abuse included emotional and physical abuse, as well as rape.	↔	Kim and Bill are in a relationship. The relationship started as a mutual relationship of love, yet Bill became abusive to Kim. The abuse included emotional and physical abuse, as well as rape.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Domestic Abuse • Relationships of subordination and dominance
Tim and Jim are in a relationship. Over the course of the relationship, Tim and Jim start experimenting with sexual toys, and progress to use live animals in their sexual antics.	↔	Kim and Bill are in a relationship. Over the course of the relationship, Kim and Bill start experimenting with sexual toys, and progress to use live animals in their sexual antics.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Harm to animals • Harm to self (?) • Animal rights
<p>In all cases, we see that the problematic/immoral actions performed bear no connection to the sexual orientation of the included partners.</p>			